

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,569	12/15/2003	Georg Domenig	3497	
7590 11/14/2005			EXAMINER	
Charles Y. Lacky			STRIMBU, GREGORY J	
P.O. Box 5871 Winston-Salem, NC 27113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
		DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office And and	10/735,569	DOMENIG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ap	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/735,569

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because it depends from a claim that is not currently pending in the application. Accordingly, the examiner has assumed that claim 4 depends from claim 5 in order to further the prosecution of the application. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Recitations such as "a plurality of shelf positions" on lines 4-5 of claim 3 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear what the applicant is attempting to set forth. What comprises a shelf position? Is the applicant referring to rotational position of the shelf? Recitations such as "the pen" on line 3 of claim 4 render the claims indefinite because they lack antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,569

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Twellmann. Twellmann discloses a rotary shelf mechanism comprising: a post 10; at least one shelf 12 connected to the post, the post having pin-receiving apertures 57 proximate the at least one connected shelf, each of the at least one shelf having a post-encircling sleeve 42 including an operably connected pin-receiving indent 58; and a pin 56 extending through the post-receiving apertures and being cooperatively received by the pin-receiving indent of the at least one shelf to secure the at least one shelf to the post, the shelf has a post receiving opening (not numbered, but shown in the figure), the pin receiving indent is a rectangularly shaped recess since it has a general shape of a rectangle as shown in the figure, the post includes a plurality of pin receiving apertures 57.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Twellmann as applied to claims 1, 2 and 6 above, and further in view of Mitts et al. Twellmann is silent concerning a vertically adjustable post.

However, Mitts et al. disclose a rotary shelf mechanism having a vertically adjustable post 30.

Art Unit: 3634

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide

Twellmann with a vertically adjustable post, as taught by Mitts et al., to enable the post to fit in various sized cabinets.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 571-272-6836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634 November 10, 2005